

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO



No. 12-132076-00010-0000

Fecha: 2015-04-30 15:15:52 Dep. 2020 DIR.NUEVASCR
Tra. 2 PATENTES Eve: 1 REGDEPOSITO
Act. 412 REPOSPRESRECU Folios: 26

P-3431

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO
SUPERINTENDENTE DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO

E. S. D.

Re: Expediente No. 12-132.076

Solicitud de patente a nombre de JOHNSON & JOHNSON DO BRASIL
INDUSTRIA E COMERCIO DE PRODUTOS PARA SAUDE LTDA

Yo, SILVANA MARIA LOPEZ DIAZ, identificada como aparece al pie de mi firma, domiciliada en la carrera 11 No. 86-53, piso 6, obrando como apoderada de la Sociedad JOHNSON & JOHNSON DO BRASIL INDUSTRIA E COMERCIO DE PRODUTOS PARA SAUDE LTDA, domiciliada en Brasil, solicitante de la patente en referencia, interpongo recurso de reposición contra la resolución No. 11330 del día 13 de marzo de 2015, expedida por el Superintendente de Industria y Comercio, por medio de la cual se niega el privilegio de patente para la solicitud contenida en el expediente de la referencia.

1. Con fundamento en la facultad que confiere el artículo 34 de la Decisión 486 al solicitante para modificar la solicitud de patente en cualquier momento del trámite, presento junto con este recurso de reposición un nuevo capítulo reivindicatorio que comprende un total de 8 reivindicaciones, en donde se eliminaron las reivindicaciones 9 a 12. Las características técnicas esenciales que antes correspondían a las reivindicaciones eliminadas, han sido ahora incluidas en la reivindicación 1.

A partir de dicha modificación, es evidente que en ningún momento se está incurriendo en ampliación de materia, por tanto se le solicita a este Despacho tener en consideración este nuevo pliego reivindicatorio, el cual reemplaza por completo al anteriormente presentado, para lo cual adicionalmente se adjunta a este recurso de reposición, el comprobante de pago por concepto de modificación voluntaria.

1/26

2. FUNDAMENTOS DEL RECURSO

2.1 Del indebido análisis de Claridad de las Reivindicaciones

De acuerdo con lo establecido en la resolución pendiente, se manifiesta que la reivindicación 1 no es clara porque no presenta alguna característica técnica adicional. De acuerdo con el Examinador, simplemente reclama características funcionales, las cuales no le pueden dar novedad ni altura inventiva a dicha reivindicación. Por ende, dichas reivindicaciones no siguen los lineamientos establecidos en el artículo 30 de la Dec. 486.

2.1.1 El pliego reivindicatorio sí cumple con el requisito de claridad

El artículo 30 de la Dec. 486 de la Comunidad Andina establece:

Artículo 30.- Las reivindicaciones definirán la materia que se desea proteger mediante la patente. Deben ser claras y concisas y estar enteramente sustentadas por la descripción.

De acuerdo con lo expuesto en el numeral anterior, se le informa respetuosamente a este Despacho, que aunque la reivindicación 1 sí se refiere a varias características técnicas funcionales, también se encuentra claramente definida por los diferentes elementos que conforman el artículo absorbente reivindicado, los cuales son considerados como características técnicas esenciales del mismo. Las características técnicas funcionales en ningún momento restan claridad o concisión al pliego reivindicatorio. El Solicitante desca resaltar que de acuerdo con la GUÍA PARA EXAMEN DE SOLICITUDES DE PATENTE DE INVENCION Y MODELO DE UTILIDAD de la Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio, “Las reivindicaciones deben incluir características estructurales y funcionales cuando para la persona del oficio normalmente versada en la materia no sea obvio el funcionamiento de los elementos característicos y la relación entre estos”. Consecuentemente, el Solicitante manifiesta su desacuerdo hacia el argumento del Señor Examinador, según el cual la reivindicación 1 no es clara por referirse a características funcionales. De hecho, dichas características se incluyen en la reivindicación 1 para aclarar a la persona versada en la materia la manera en la que los diferentes

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

elementos se encuentran dispuestos dentro del material absorbente reclamado y de qué manera se encuentran relacionados entre sí.

En lo que respecta a la nueva reivindicación 1, se han incluido en ella las características que hacían parte de las antiguas reivindicaciones 9 a 12. Ahora la reivindicación 1 divulga que el artículo absorbente comprende un anillo en relieve. Además, se incluyeron las relaciones funcionales entre dicho anillo de relieve, los patrones de relieve, las regiones terminales, las regiones laterales, el borde periférico y el cuerpo absorbente principal.

De acuerdo con lo anterior, se superan todas aquellas objeciones por falta de claridad y concisión que persistían en las reivindicaciones que aún continúan en trámite. De este modo se considera que las nuevas reivindicaciones 1 a 8, cumplen a cabalidad con el requisito de calidad exigido.

2.2 Del indebido análisis de Novedad

De acuerdo con lo establecido en la resolución pendiente, se mantiene, que la reivindicación 1 y sus dependientes (reivindicaciones 2 a 12), carecen de novedad en vista de las enseñanzas del documento **D1**: CO 2010-050839

2.2.1 La invención sí es Nueva y posee Altura Inventiva

De acuerdo al artículo 16 de la Dec. 486, se determina:

Artículo 16: Una invención se considerará nueva cuando no esté comprendida en el estado de la técnica.

“El estado de la técnica comprenderá todo lo que haya sido accesible al público por una descripción escrita u oral, utilización, comercialización o cualquier otro medio antes de la fecha de presentación de la solicitud de patente, o en su caso, de la prioridad reconocida.

La novedad de una invención se evalúa a través de la comparación uno a uno de sus características esenciales. De este modo, **una invención puede ser objetada por novedad cuando sus características esenciales son exactamente iguales a las de un antecedente que represente el estado de la técnica conocido**

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

al momento de presentar la solicitud. Por lo tanto en caso que llegue a existir al menos una diferencia en dicha comparación, se afirma que la invención SI cumple con el requisito de novedad.

En dicho respecto se recuerda que al haber incluido en el alcance de la reivindicación 1 la materia reportada en las reivindicaciones 9 a 12, las enseñanzas del documento D1 ya no tienen cómo afectar de novedad de la materia reportada en la nueva 1 a 5 y en consecuencia, se afirma que éstas son novedosas sobre el estado previo de la técnica.

En particular la sociedad solicitante desea aclarar que el documento D1 en ningún momento sugiere el uso de un anillo en relieve que rodee los patrones de relieve y que además esté separado hacia adentro con relación al borde periférico del cuerpo absorbente principal. De esta manera, la invención reclamada en la anterioridad no divulga la invención tal y como se encuentra reivindicada en la presente solicitud.

Adicionalmente, se recuerda que el artículo 18 de la Decisión 486 señala lo siguiente:

Artículo 18.- Se considerará que una invención tiene nivel inventivo, si para una persona del oficio normalmente versada en la materia técnica correspondiente, esa invención no hubiese resultado obvia ni se hubiese derivado de manera evidente del estado de la técnica.

Una invención se considerará inventiva si la misma no hubiese resultado obvia a partir del arte previo para una persona del oficio normalmente versada en la materia. Esto a su vez implica que el Examinador a cargo de esta evaluación debe colocarse en el momento exacto en el que el inventor buscaba resolver el problema técnico contemplado en la solicitud y para el cual poseía la literatura y el conocimiento disponibles en dicho momento.

Siguiendo entonces los lineamientos de la Jurisprudencia aplicable al análisis del nivel inventivo de una solicitud de patente, se considera que una anterioridad o una combinación de dos anterioridades puede afectar dicho requerimiento de patentabilidad, si una persona medianamente versada en la materia encuentra enseñanzas o sugerencias claras que, a partir de un conocimiento medio en dicho campo, le permitan llegar de manera obvia a la invención reivindicada. Adicionalmente se considera que una mejora sustancial, un efecto inesperado o

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

una ventaja con respecto al arte previo es un indicio de nivel inventivo, por cuanto aporta un salto tecnológico en la regla técnica.

Con respecto a lo anterior, el Solicitante desea mencionar en esta oportunidad, que incluir un anillo en relieve rodeando los patrones de relieve y estando separado hacia adentro con relación al borde periférico del cuerpo absorbente principal, no hubiera resultado obvio para la persona versada en la materia en vista de las enseñanzas del documento citado. El técnico versado en la materia no se hubiera visto motivado a incluir el anillo en relieve en el artículo absorbente del arte previo para lograr la prevención del derrame a los lados y en los extremos de la invención, lo que representa una mejora o ventaja considerable con relación al estado de la técnica.

De esta manera se demuestra en vista de las enseñanzas de D1, la materia reclamada en la presente solicitud no se encuentra divulgada de manera obvia y por tanto ésta sí posee nivel inventivo.

2.3 Concesión de solicitudes equivalentes

Si bien entendemos y aceptamos que cada país es autónomo en la concesión o negación de los privilegios de patente, queremos resaltar el hecho que el análisis de los requisitos para el otorgamiento de esos derechos es prácticamente universal. La presente invención fue concedida por la Oficina de Patentes los Estados Unidos de América: US8975466 (13/205,790). Por su parte, la Oficina de Patentes Europea ha comunicado al Solicitante su intención de conceder la solicitud de patente equivalente EP2556810.

Si bien es cierto que cada país es autónomo en conceder o negar el privilegio de patente para una solicitud, también es cierto que **los requisitos de concesión de dichos privilegios son universales**, esto es, novedad, nivel inventivo y aplicación industrial, **como también la forma de evaluarlos**. Así las cosas, el hecho de que la misma solicitud cumpla con los mencionados requisitos en diferentes países, como se mencionó anteriormente, es un claro indicio del merecimiento de la patente en Colombia.

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

3. PETICIÓN

Teniendo en cuenta lo dicho anteriormente, solicito a usted lo siguiente:

- a) Que de conformidad con lo previsto por los artículos 3, 42 y 80 del Código de Procedimiento Administrativo y de lo Contencioso Administrativo se consideren y se resuelvan TODOS los argumentos y TODAS las cuestiones planteadas así como las que aparezcan con motivo de este recurso.

- b) Que se revoque la Resolución No. 11330 del día 13 de marzo de 2015 expedida por el Superintendente de Industria y Comercio, y se proceda a conceder el privilegio de patente de invención a la solicitud de mi mandante

Señor Superintendente,



SILVANA MARIA LÓPEZ DÍAZ
C.C. 22.468.787 de Barranquilla
T.P 147463 del CSJ
notificaciones@clarkemodet.com.co

Clarke, Modet & Cº

COLOMBIA

ANEXOS

- Nuevo capítulo reivindicatorio, compuesto por 8 reivindicaciones.
- Notificación de concesión por parte de la Oficina de Patentes de los Estados Unidos de América.
- Notificación de intención de conceder la solicitud equivalente por parte de la Oficina de Patentes Europea
- Copia del recibo de pago por un valor de \$ 132.000, por concepto de modificaciones voluntarias.

DIRECCIÓN DE NUEVAS CREACIONES
MODIFICACIONES Y/O CORRECCIONES

1. Identificación del Trámite

☐ Error material

☒ Modificación a una Solicitud en trámite

☒ Patente de Invención

☐ Patente de Modelo de Utilidad

☐ Registro de Diseño Industrial

☐ Esquema de Trazado de Circuitos Integrados

2. Datos del solicitante

Persona Natural ☐

Persona Jurídica ☒

**JOHNSON & JOHNSON DO BRASIL INDUSTRIA E COMERCIO DE
PRODUTOS PARA SAUDE LTDA.**

Nombre o denominación / Nombre o razón social completa

Nombre representante legal

Tipo de empresa

Micro ☐

Pequeña ☐

Mediana ☐

Otra ☐

Documento de identificación:

☐ C.C.

☐ C.E.

☐ NIT

☐ Otro

Número

Nacionalidad/País de constitución	Dirección y domicilio del titular	Ciudad
BRASIL	Rodovia Presidente Dutra, Km 154, São José dos Campos, São Paulo, CEP 12237-350 Brasil.	São Paulo, Brasil

☐

Autorizo expresamente a la Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio para que todas las comunicaciones sean enviadas a través de la dirección de correo electrónico

3. Datos del apoderado:

SILVANA MARIA LÓPEZ DÍAZ

C.C. 22.468.787

147463 CSJ

Apellidos y Nombre

Documento de identificación

Tarjeta profesional

Dirección y domicilio		Ciudad
Carrera 11 No. 86-53, Piso 6, Bogotá D.C., Colombia		Bogotá D.C.
Dirección electrónica	No. Fax	Número telefónico
<u>notificaciones@clarkemodet.com.co</u>	6350824	6181088

En caso de haber presentado poder general para asuntos que se adelanten ante la Delegatura de Propiedad Industrial, sírvase indicar el número de su radicación o protocolo de poder general

4. Datos del trámite

Corrección:

Expediente No. 12-132.076

Aparece _____

Debe ser _____

Modificación:

Presentación Solicitud Divisional.

Modificación al capítulo descriptivo.

☐

Modificación al capítulo Reivindicatorio

Presento junto con este Recurso nuevo capítulo reivindicatorio que comprende un total de 8 reivindicaciones.

Modificación al resumen.

☐

Modificación a los dibujos.

☐

Modificación al solicitante.

5. Anexos

☒

Comprobante de pago de la tasa para la presentación de la corrección y/o modificación

☐

Poder, si fuere el caso.

☒

Documento que contiene las modificaciones o correcciones.

☐

Copia de la solicitud y sus anexos en formato magnético.

6. Firma


SILVANA MARIA LÓPEZ DÍAZ
C.C. 22.468.787 de Barranquilla
T.P 147463 del CSJ
notificaciones@clarkemodet.com.co

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO

NIT : 800.176.089-2

- / -



RECIBO DE CAJA

No. 15 - 0037967

Bogotá D.C., Abril 08 de 2015 - 07:57:55

RECIBIDO DE : CLARKE MODET

NI 830.008.643

*** Soporte del Pago ***

TIPO PAGO	BANCO	CUENTA	No. PAGO	FECHA PAGO	VR. PAGO
CONSIGNACION	BANCO DE BOGOTA	062754387	696971376	07/04/2015	5.658.000.00

*** Conceptos Pagados ***

CANT.	RENTISTICO	CONCEPTO	Vr.UNDITARIO	Vr.CONCEPTO
1	50005-01-03 CAMBIO DE MODALIDAD Y MODIFICACIONES	EN N. CREACION CORRECCION A SOLICITUD EN TRAMITE / MODIFICA	132.000.00	132.000.00
				\$132.000.00

SON: **CIENTO TREINTA Y DOS MIL PESOS MONEDA CORRIENTE**

Responsable: _____

Recibo de Caja Aplicado al Expediente No. _____

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO



No. 12-132076- -00010-0000

Fecha: 2015-04-30 15:15:52 Dep. 2020 DIR.NUEVASCR
Tra. 2 PATENTES Eve: 1 REGDEPOSITO
Act. 412 REPOSPRESRECU Folios: 26

Sede Centro: Carrera 13 No. 27 - 00 Pisos 3,4,5 y 10 Bogotá, D.C.- Colombia

Web: www.sic.gov.co e-mail: Info@sic.gov.co Conmutador: (571) 5870000 Fax: (571) 5870284 Línea: 018000-910165 Call Center: (571) 6513240

, Abril 8 de 2015 - 07:58:10

P-3431.

10

P-3431
Rvs modificadas
30/04/2015

REIVINDICACIONES

1. Un artículo absorbente (10) que comprende:

- una capa protectora permeable al fluido (52);
- una primera capa absorbente (54);
- una segunda capa absorbente (56);
- una capa de barrera impermeable al fluido (58);

una línea central que se extiende longitudinalmente (12);

una línea central que se extiende transversalmente (14);

una primera región terminal (24) y una segunda región terminal (25);

una región central (28) dispuesta entre la primera región terminal (24) y la segunda región terminal (25);

un primer borde longitudinal (16);

un segundo borde longitudinal (18);

un primer borde transversal (20);

un segundo borde transversal (22);

un cuerpo absorbente principal (11);

un primer patrón en relieve (26) que tiene una primera porción (27) dispuesta en la primera región terminal (24) y una segunda porción (29) dispuesta en la segunda región terminal (25); la primera porción (27) y la segunda porción (29) incluyen canales interconectados (30); los canales interconectados (30) definen protuberancias (102) orientadas hacia el cuerpo;

un segundo patrón en relieve (32) que incluye por lo menos un canal (35) dispuesto en la región central (28) y que se extiende en una dirección longitudinal del artículo; uno de los canales (35) se entrecruza con uno de los canales interconectados (30) en la primera región terminal (24) y con uno de los canales interconectados (30) en la segunda región terminal (25);

en donde las regiones en relieve del primer (26) y segundo patrón en relieve (32) se forman de tal manera que se extienden hacia la capa protectora (52), la primera capa absorbente (54) y la segunda capa absorbente (56);

U

P-3431
Rvs modificadas
30/04/2015

un anillo en relieve(106) que se encuentra separado hacia afuera con relación al primer patrón en relieve(94) y segundo patrón en relieve(32);

en donde dicho anillo está estructurado y dispuesto de manera que la primera región terminal(108) y la segunda región terminal(110) del anillo en relieve(106) estén separadas del primer patrón en relieve(94) y del segundo patrón en relieve(32) en comparación con una primera región lateral(112) y una segunda región lateral(114) del anillo en relieve(106);

en donde el anillo en relieve(106) se encuentra separado hacia adentro con relación a un borde periférico(107) del cuerpo absorbente principal(11).

2. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 1, en donde el punto más alto (103) de cada una de las protuberancias (102) se extiende de 1 mm a 10 mm por encima de una superficie base (104) de un canal interconectado (100)circundante.

3. El artículo absorbente(10)de conformidad con la reivindicación 2, en donde cada protuberancia (102) se encuentra rodeada de uno de los canales interconectados (100).

4. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 3, en donde los canales interconectados (100) definen una red continua de canales.

5. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 4, en donde un canal que se extiende longitudinalmente (35) comprende canales que se extienden longitudinalmente (35a, 35b, 35c); uno de los canales que se extienden longitudinalmente (35a) coincide con la línea central que se extiende longitudinalmente (12) del artículo y los otros canales que se extienden longitudinalmente (35b,35c) están dispuestos con una separación del canal (35a)

P-3431
Rvs modificadas
30/04/2015

que coincide con la línea central que se extiende longitudinalmente (12).

6. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 5, en donde el canal que se extiende longitudinalmente (35a) coincide con la línea central que se extiende longitudinalmente (12) se entrecruza con uno los canales (100) en la primera región terminal (24) y con uno de los canales (100) en la segunda región terminal (25).

7. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 6, en donde cada uno de los canales que se extienden longitudinalmente (35a,35b,35c) es un canal continuo.

8. El artículo absorbente(10) de conformidad con la reivindicación 7, en donde la primera porción (27) se encuentra separada de la segunda porción (29).



Europäisches
Patentamt
European
Patent Office
Office européen
des brevets

European Patent Office
Postbus 5818
2280 HV Rijswijk
NETHERLANDS
Tel: +31 70 340 2040
Fax: +31 70 340 3016



Kirsch, Susan Edith
Carpmaels & Ransford LLP
One Southampton Row
London WC1B 5HA
ROYAUME-UNI

Formalities Officer
Name: Stuckart, Christoph
Tel: +31 70 340 - 4301
or call
+31 (0)70 340 45 00

Application No. 12 179 695.7 - 1953	Ref. P060172EP	Date 18.03.2015
Applicant Johnson & Johnson do Brasil Industria e Comercio de Produtos Para Saude Ltda.		

Communication under Rule 71(3) EPC

1. Intention to grant

You are informed that the Examining Division intends to grant a European patent on the basis of the above application with the text and drawings and the related bibliographic data as indicated below.

A copy of the relevant documents is enclosed.

1.1

In the text for the Contracting States:

AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT
RO RS SE SI SK SM TR

Description, Pages

2, 4-6, 11, 17-19, 22 as originally filed

1, 3, 7-10, 12-16, 20, filed in electronic form on
21

12-08-2013

Claims, Numbers

1-12 filed in electronic form on

12-08-2013

Drawings, Sheets

1/9-9/9 as originally filed

14

1.2 Bibliographic data

The title of the invention in the three official languages of the European Patent Office, the international patent classification, the designated Contracting States, the registered name(s) of the applicant(s) and the other bibliographic data are shown on the **enclosed EPO Form 2056**.

2. Invitation

You are invited, **within a non-extendable period of four months** of notification of this communication,

2.1 to EITHER approve the text communicated above and verify the bibliographic data (R. 71(5) EPC)

(1) by filing a translation of the claim(s) in the other two official languages of the EPO

	Fee code	EUR
(2a) by paying the fee for grant including the fee for publication:	007	915.00
minus any amount already paid (R. 71a(5) EPC):		0.00

Total amount: 915.00

(3) by paying additional claims fees under Rule 71(4) EPC;		
number of claims fees payable: 0	016	0.00
minus any amount already paid (R. 71a(5) EPC):		0.00

Total amount: 0.00

Important: If the translations of the claims and fees have already been filed and paid respectively in reply to a previous communication under Rule 71(3) EPC, e.g. in the case of resumption of examination after approval (see Guidelines C-V, 6), **agreement as to the text to be granted** (R. 71a(1) EPC) must be expressed within the same time limit (e.g. by approving the text and verifying the bibliographic data, by confirming that grant proceedings can go ahead with the documents on file and/or by stating which translations of the claims already on file are to be used).

Note 1: See "Important notes concerning fee payments" below.

Note 2: Any overpaid "minus" amounts will be refunded when the decision to grant (EPO Form 2006A) has been issued.

2.2 OR, in the case of disapproval, to request reasoned amendments or corrections to the text communicated above or keep to the latest text submitted by you (R. 71(6) EPC).

In this case the translations of the claims and fee payments mentioned under point 2.1 above are NOT due.

The terms "amendment(s)" and "correction(s)" refer only to amendments or corrections of the application documents and not of other documents (e.g. bibliographic data, the designation of the inventor, etc.).

If filing amendments, you must identify them and indicate the basis for them in the application as filed. Failure to meet either requirement may lead to a communication from the Examining Division requesting that you correct this deficiency (R. 137(4) EPC).

2.3 Bibliographic data

15

Where you request a change or correction of bibliographic data in response to the Rule 71(3) communication, this will **not** cause the sending of a second communication under Rule 71(3) EPC. You will still have to pay the fees and file translations in reply to the Rule 71(3) communication in the case of 2.1 above, unless you also file a reasoned request for amendments or corrections in response to the Rule 71(3) communication (see case 2.2 above).

3. Loss of rights

If neither of the two possible actions above (see points 2.1 or 2.2) is performed in due time, the European patent application will be deemed to be withdrawn (R. 71(7) EPC).

4. Further procedure

4.1 In the case of point 2.1 above

- 4.1.1 The decision to grant the European patent will be issued, and the **mention of the grant** of the patent will be published in the European Patent Bulletin, if the requirements concerning the translation of the claims and the payment of all fees are fulfilled and there is agreement as to the text to be granted (R. 71a(1) EPC).

Note on payment of the renewal fee:

If a renewal fee becomes due before the next possible date for publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, publication will be effected only after the renewal fee and any additional fee have been paid (R. 71a(4) EPC).

Under Article 86(2) EPC, the obligation to pay renewal fees to the European Patent Office terminates with the payment of the renewal fee due in respect of the year in which the mention of the grant of the European patent is published.

Note on payment of the designation fee(s):

If the designation fee(s) become(s) due after the communication under Rule 71(3) EPC, the mention of the grant of the European patent will not be published until the designation fee(s) has/have been paid (R. 71a(3) EPC).

- 4.1.2 After publication, the **European patent specification** can be downloaded free of charge from the EPO publication server <https://data.epo.org/publication-server> or ordered from the Vienna sub-office upon payment of a fee (OJ EPO 2005, 126).

Until 31 December 2013, each proprietor may request in writing to receive the certificate for the European patent together with one copy of the new patent specification only if the request is filed within three-month or the additional two-month period (R. 95(3) and (4) EPC). If such request has been previously filed, it has to be confirmed within the same period. The requested copy is free of charge. Any request or confirmation of a request filed after 31 December 2013 or after expiry of these periods will not be dealt with and the certificate will be delivered without a copy of the new European patent specification (cf. Notice from the European Patent Office dated 16 July 2013 concerning communication of the certificate for a European patent, OJ 2013, 418).

4.1.3 Filing of translations in the Contracting States

As regards translation requirements prescribed by the Contracting States under Article 65(1) EPC, please consult the website of the European Patent Office

www.epo.org → Law & practice → Legal texts, National law relating to the EPC

www.epo.org → Law & practice → All Legal texts → London Agreement

In the case of a valid extension or validation

As regards translation requirements prescribed by the Extension or or Validation States, please consult the website of the European Patent Office

www.epo.org → Law & practice → Legal texts, National law relating to the EPC

Failure to supply a prescribed translation in a Contracting State, or in an Extension or Validation State may result in the patent being deemed to be void *ab initio* in the State concerned (Art. 65(3) EPC).

4.2 In case of 2.2 above

If the present communication under Rule 71(3) EPC is based on an auxiliary request and, within the time limit, you maintain the main request or a higher ranking request which is not allowable, the application will be refused (Art. 97(2) EPC).

If the Examining Division gives its consent to the requested amendments or corrections, it will issue a new communication under Rule 71(3) EPC; otherwise, it shall resume the examination proceedings (R. 71(6) EPC).

5. Filing of a divisional application

Any divisional application relating to this European patent application must be filed directly with the European Patent Office in Munich, The Hague or Berlin and will be in the language of the proceedings for the present application, or if the latter was not in an official language of the EPO, the divisional application may be filed in the language of the present application as filed (see Article 76(1) and Rule 36(2) EPC). Any such divisional application must be filed while the present application is still pending and the time limit for filing divisional applications must be observed (R. 36(1) EPC; Guidelines A-IV, 1.1.1).

6. Important notes concerning fee payments

6.1 For all payments, please refer to the relevant **fee code(s)**.

6.2 Automatic debiting procedure

The fee for grant, including the fee for publication, and any additional claims fees due under Rule 71(4) EPC will be debited automatically on the date of filing of the translations of the claims, or on the last day of the period of this communication. However, if the designation fee(s) become(s) due as set out in Rule 71a(3) EPC and/or a renewal fee becomes due as set out in Rule 71a(4) EPC, these should be paid separately by another permitted way of payment in order not to delay the publication of the mention of the grant. The same applies in these circumstances to the payment of extension fees. For further details see the Arrangements for the automatic debiting procedure (AAD) and accompanying information from the EPO concerning the automatic debiting procedure (Annexes A.1 and A.2 to the Arrangements for deposit accounts (ADA) in Supplementary publication 4 - OJ EPO 2014).

6.3 Important information relating to fee amounts

Following any amendment to the Rules relating to Fees, the amount(s) mentioned in this communication may be different from the amount(s) **actually due on the date of payment**. The latest version of the Schedule of fees and expenses, published as a Supplement to the Official Journal of the EPO, is also available on the EPO website (www.epo.org) and can be found under www.epo.org/schedule-of-fees, which allows the viewing, downloading and searching for individual fee amounts, both current and previous. Please note that procedural fees are usually adjusted every two years, on even years, with effect from 1 April.

6.4 Payments by cheque delivered or sent direct to the EPO are no longer accepted as from 1 April 2008 (see OJ EPO 2007, 626).

Examining Division:

Chairman: Aspeby, Erika
2nd Examiner: Barathe, Rainier
1st Examiner: Mirza, Anita



Stuckart, Christoph
For the Examining Division
Tel. No.: +31 70 340 - 4301

Branch at The Hague

Enclosure(s): Text intended for grant (33 pages)

EPO Form 2056

18



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

20875 7590 10/31/2014
ROBERT C. BARAONA
EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY INC
25225 DETROIT ROAD
P O BOX 450777
WESTLAKE, OH 44145

EXAMINER	
HAIRELL, AUNDRIA C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3778	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2014

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/205,790	08/09/2011	Ana Maria Elena R. Marcelo	(J&J5378USCIP1)PYX-0179-2	2561

TITLE OF INVENTION: ABSORBENT ARTICLE INCLUDING A PLURALITY OF LONGITUDINALLY EXTENDING CHANNELS

APPLN. TYPE	ENTITY STATUS	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	UNDISCOUNTED	\$960	\$0	\$0	\$960	02/02/2015

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the ENTITY STATUS shown above. If the ENTITY STATUS is shown as SMALL or MICRO, verify whether entitlement to that entity status still applies.

If the ENTITY STATUS is the same as shown above, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

If the ENTITY STATUS is changed from that shown above, on PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, complete section number 5 titled "Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above)".

For purposes of this notice, small entity fees are 1/2 the amount of undiscounted fees, and micro entity fees are 1/2 the amount of small entity fees.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

19

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: **Mail** Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 or **Fax** (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)

20875 7590 10/31/2014
 ROBERT C. BARAONA
 EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY INC
 25225 DETROIT ROAD
 P O BOX 450777
 WESTLAKE, OH 44145

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.

(Depositor's name)
(Signature)
(Date)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/205,790	08/09/2011	Ana Maria Elena R. Marcelo	(J&J5378USCIP1)PYX-0179-2	2561

TITLE OF INVENTION: ABSORBENT ARTICLE INCLUDING A PLURALITY OF LONGITUDINALLY EXTENDING CHANNELS

APPLN. TYPE	ENTITY STATUS	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	UNDISCOUNTED	\$960	\$0	\$0	\$960	02/02/2015

EXAMINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS
HAIRELL, AUNDRIA C	3778	604-378000

1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363).

- ☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached.
☐ "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required.

2. For printing on the patent front page, list

- (1) The names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively,
 (2) The name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed.

1 _____
 2 _____
 3 _____

3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type)

PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment.

(A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE

(B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY)

Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent): ☐ Individual ☐ Corporation or other private group entity ☐ Government

4a. The following fee(s) are submitted:

- ☐ Issue Fee
☐ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted)
☐ Advance Order - # of Copies _____

4b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above)

- ☐ A check is enclosed.
☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.
☐ The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credits any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number _____ (enclose an extra copy of this form).

5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above)

- ☐ Applicant certifying micro entity status. See 37 CFR 1.29
☐ Applicant asserting small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27
☐ Applicant changing to regular undiscounted fee status.

NOTE: Absent a valid certification of Micro Entity Status (see forms PTO/SB/15A and 15B), issue fee payment in the micro entity amount will not be accepted at the risk of application abandonment.
 NOTE: If the application was previously under micro entity status, checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entitlement to micro entity status.
 NOTE: Checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entitlement to small or micro entity status, as applicable.

NOTE: This form must be signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.31 and 1.33. See 37 CFR 1.4 for signature requirements and certifications.

Authorized Signature _____ Date _____
 Typed or printed name _____ Registration No. _____

20



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/205,790	08/09/2011	Ana Maria Elena R. Marcelo	(J&J5378USCIP1)PYX-0179-2	2561

20875 7590 10/31/2014
ROBERT C. BARAONA
EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY INC
25225 DETROIT ROAD
P O BOX 450777
WESTLAKE, OH 44145

EXAMINER

HAIRELL, AUNDRIA C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3778

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2014

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)
(Applications filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Office has discontinued providing a Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) calculation with the Notice of Allowance.

Section 1(h)(2) of the AIA Technical Corrections Act amended 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3)(B)(i) to eliminate the requirement that the Office provide a patent term adjustment determination with the notice of allowance. See Revisions to Patent Term Adjustment, 78 Fed. Reg. 19416, 19417 (Apr. 1, 2013). Therefore, the Office is no longer providing an initial patent term adjustment determination with the notice of allowance. The Office will continue to provide a patent term adjustment determination with the Issue Notification Letter that is mailed to applicant approximately three weeks prior to the issue date of the patent, and will include the patent term adjustment on the patent. Any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination (or reinstatement of patent term adjustment) should follow the process outlined in 37 CFR 1.705.

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

21

OMB Clearance and PRA Burden Statement for PTOL-85 Part B

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to obtain Office of Management and Budget approval before requesting most types of information from the public. When OMB approves an agency request to collect information from the public, OMB (i) provides a valid OMB Control Number and expiration date for the agency to display on the instrument that will be used to collect the information and (ii) requires the agency to inform the public about the OMB Control Number's legal significance in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b).

The information collected by PTOL-85 Part B is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Notice of Allowability	Application No. 13/205,790	Applicant(s) R. MARCELO ET AL.	
	Examiner AUNDRIA HAIRELL	Art Unit 3778	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--
All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to amendment filed 9/16/2014.
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on _____.
2. ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on _____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
3. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-12 and 14-21. As a result of the allowed claim(s), you may be eligible to benefit from the **Patent Prosecution Highway** program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Certified copies:

- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has **THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"** of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in **ABANDONMENT** of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 2. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 6. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| 3. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 7. ☐ Other _____ |
| 4. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | |

/AUNDRIA HAIRELL/
Examiner, Art Unit 3778

Handwritten: 2/5/23, 23

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with R.ROSASCO on 10/17/2014.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 5, line 3, delete "the longitudinally extending with" and insert --the longitudinally extending channels extending with--;

In claim 14, lines 3-4, delete "such that the at least one channel and other channels do not intersect the central region of the absorbent article" and insert --such that the at least one channel does not intersect the longitudinally extending centerline of the absorbent article--;

In claim 16, line 1, delete "13" and insert --1--;

In claim 16, lines 2-3, delete "such that they do not intersect the central region of the absorbent article" and insert --such that they do not intersect the longitudinally extending centerline of the absorbent article--;

In claim 17, line 1, delete "13" and insert --1--; and

In claim 21, lines 3-4, delete "such that the at least one channel and other channels do not intersect the central region of the absorbent article" and insert --such

that the at least one channel does not intersect the longitudinally extending centerline of the absorbent article--.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-12 and 14-21 are allowed.
3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Drzewiecki being the closest prior art of record does not teach, alone or in combination, the claimed embossment pattern including that the second embossing pattern in the central region is different from the first embossing pattern at least one of the first end region.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AUNDRIA HAIRELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5235. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

25

Application/Control Number: 13/205,790
Art Unit: 3778

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AUNDRIA HAIRELL/
Examiner, Art Unit 3778

/MELANIE HAND/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3778

26