

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO



No. 09-002500- -00002-0000

Fecha: 2009-05-26 16:08:08 Dep: 2020 NUECREACION
Tra: 11 PATENTEIYII Eve: 379 FASENACIONALII
Act: 444 RESPUESTAREQUE Folios: 18

Señora

Alix Carmenza Céspedes de Vergel

Jefe de División de Nuevas Creaciones

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO

E. _____ S. _____ D. _____

Referencia: Expediente No. 09.002.500

Solicitud de Patente de Invención titulada: "Proceso para fabricar 2-Amino-5-halobenzamidas 3-sustituidas"

Solicitante: E.I.Du Pont De Nemours and Company

RESPUESTA AL AUTO NOTIFICADO POR FIJACIÓN EN LISTA NO. 89
DEL 27 DE MARZO DE 2009, OFICIO NO. 3757 (EXAMEN DE FORMA)

ÁLVARO CORREA ORDÓÑEZ, mayor de edad y vecino de Bogotá D.C., identificado tal como aparece al pie de mi firma, en mi carácter de apoderado de la sociedad en referencia, doy respuesta al auto emanado por ese Despacho dentro del término legal:


1. Aclaramos a su Despacho que la presente solicitud corresponde a **CAPITULO I**. Por lo tanto, no hay anexos del Examen Preliminar Internacional.

No obstante lo anterior, presento copia de la Opinión Escrita emitida por la Autoridad de la Búsqueda Internacional.

Se presenta formulario único de modificaciones en el que se aclara que la presente solicitud es CAPITULO I, así como el recibo de modificación.

2. Presento documento en que consta la cesión del derecho a la patente del inventor al solicitante o causante, debidamente legalizada junto con su traducción oficial.

Atentamente,


ÁLVARO CORREA ORDÓÑEZ
C.C.No.79.143.366 de Usaquén
T.P.20.281

Anexos:

Documento de Cesión de Inventores
Formulario de modificación
Recibo

MGT/BOGDMS-#168574-V1-P2008_000568-0320.DOC

TRADUCCIÓN OFICIAL N° 0326/2009 de un documento escrito en inglés el cual para su identificación se sella con el sello del traductor. Esta es una traducción oficial efectuada el día 17 de marzo de 2009 por la señora Teresa Jiménez de Montes, con cc 41.569.149 de Bogotá, traductora oficial según Resolución N° 220 del 6 de febrero de 1978, expedida por el Ministerio de Justicia de la República de Colombia.

=====

E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

BA9379 PCT COLOMBIA

CESIÓN

Documento escrito en inglés y español.

Firmado:

Richard Frank DAVIS
Eric deGuyon TAYLOR
Rafael SHAPIRO

SE REQUIERE LEGALIZACION- FIRMA /SELLO DEL NOTARIO EN LA PAGINA 2

BA9379 PCT

Estados Unidos de América)
Estado de Delaware)
Condado de New Castle)

A los 19 días del mes de mayo de 2009 comparecieron personalmente ante mi Richard Frank Davis, Eric deGuyon Taylor y Rafael Shapiro a quienes conozco como las personas descritas y quienes firmaron el documento que antecede y reconocieron ante mi haber firmado el documento.

Firmado [Danielle Marie Long]
Notaria Pública
Danielle Marie Harrison

Sello:
DANIELLE MARIE LONG
NOTARIA PUBLICA
ESTADO DE DELAWARE
Mi comisión termina el 31 de mayo de 2009.

Teresa J. de Montes
TERESA J. DE MONTES
TRADUCTORA OFICIAL
RES. 220 MINJUSTICIA

APOSTILLE
(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. País: Estados Unidos de América

Este documento público

2. fue firmado por Danielle M. Long

3. actuando en su carácter de Secretario de Estado de Delaware

4. lleva el sello / timbre de Oficina del Secretario de Estado

CERTIFICADO

5. En Dover, Delaware

6. el diecinueve de mayo de 2009

7. por el Secretario de Estado, Departamento de Estado de Delaware

8. No. 0385900

9. Sello / Timbre

OFICINA DEL SECRETARIO
1793 DELAWARE 1855


10. Firma

[Firma Ilegible]

Secretario de Estado

La anterior es traducción fiel y completa del documento en referencia, de la cual se deja copia en este archivo para su confrontación.

TERESA J. DE MONTES
TRADUCTORA OFICIAL
RES. 220 MINJUSTICIA


Teresa Jiménez de Montes
c.c. 41.569.149 de Bogotá
Traductora Oficial según
Resolución No.220 del
6 de febrero de 1978,
expedida por el
Ministerio de Justicia de Colombia.

Apostille

(Convention de La Haye du 5 Octobre 1961)

1. Country: United States of America

This public document:

2. has been signed by Danielle M. Long

3. acting in the capacity of Notary Public of the State of Delaware

4. bears the seal/stamp of Danielle M. Long, Notary Public, Delaware

Certified


5. at Dover, Delaware

6. the nineteenth day of May, A.D. 2009

7. by Secretary of State, Delaware Department of State

8. No. 0385900

9. Seal/Stamp:



10. Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]
Secretary of State

ASSIGNMENT

Richard Frank DAVIS, Rafael SHAPIRO, and
Eric deGuyon TAYLOR

by means of this document assign to

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

a corporation organized under the laws of

Delaware

domiciled in

1007 Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19898
United States of America

(hereinafter referred to as the "ASSIGNEE"),
all my (our) rights, title and interest in and to my
(our) invention for a new and useful
improvement entitled and as fully set forth and
described in:

PROCESS FOR MAKING 3-
SUBSTITUTED 2-AMINO-5-
HALOBENZAMIDES

so far as the Republic of Colombia is concerned.

Place: WILMINGTON, DELAWARE

Date: MAY 19, 2009

Richard Frank Davis
Richard Frank DAVIS

Eric deGuyon Taylor
Eric deGuyon TAYLOR.

CESION

Richard Frank Davis, Rafael Shapiro and
Eric deGuyon Taylor

por medio de la presente cedo (cedemos) a

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

una corporación organizada bajo las leyes de

Delaware

domiciliada en

1007 Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19898
Estados Unidos de América

(de ahora en adelante el "CESIONARIO"),
todos mis (nuestros) derechos, título e interés
sobre mi (nuestra) invención tal como describe
en:

PROCESO PARA FABRICAR 2-AMINO-
5-HALOBENZAMIDAS 3-SUSTITUIDAS

en lo que concierne a la República de Colombia.

Lugar y fecha: Wilmington, Delaware

19 de mayo de 2009

Rafael Shapiro
Rafael SHAPIRO

BA9379 PCT

United States of America)
)
State of Delaware) ss.
)
County of New Castle)

On this 19th day of May, 20 09, personally appeared
before me Richard Frank Davis, Eric deGuyon Taylor, and
Rafael Shapiro

to me known and known to me to be the individual__ described in and who executed the
foregoing instrument, and who acknowledged to me that __he__ executed the same.

DANIELLE MARIE LONG
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF DELAWARE
My Commission Expires May 31, 2009

Danielle Marie Long
Notary Public
Danielle Marie Hanson

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BA9379PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2007/014972	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 27 June 2007 (27.06.2007)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 19 July 2006 (19.07.2006)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY		

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| ☐ Box No. II | Priority |
| ☐ Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| ☒ Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| ☒ Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| ☒ Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| ☐ Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| ☒ Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 07 April 2009 (07.04.2009)
	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/US2007/014972

International filing date (day/month/year)
27.06.2007

Priority date (day/month/year)
19.07.2006

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
INV. C07C231/02 C07D401/04 C07D265/14 C07C271/28 C07C237/30

Applicant
E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☒ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☒ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Date of completion of
this opinion

see form
PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer

GRAMMENOUDI, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8324



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US2007/014972

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).

2. ☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material:

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material:

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing:

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

4. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

5. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.:
PCT/US2007/014972

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☐ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
- ☐ paid additional fees
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
 - ☐ not paid additional fees
2. ☒ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
- ☒ complied with
 - ☐ not complied with for the following reasons:
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☒ all parts.
 - ☐ the parts relating to claims Nos.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	<u>2, 6, 8, 9, 12-16</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1, 3-5, 7, 10-11</u>
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	<u>6, 8, 9, 12-16</u>
	No: Claims	<u>1-5, 7, 10, 11</u>
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	<u>1-16</u>
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10)
and / or

- ## 2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/US2007/014972

SECTION IV

According to Rule 13.1 PCT, the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. The common concept linking together independent claims 1 and 8 are the 3-substituted 5-haloisatoic anhydrides of formula 2. However, these compounds are not novel in view of the prior art (cf. D1, page 18, formula 27, Examples 1 and 4; D2, Example 4; D4, Example 3). Claims 1 and 8 do not therefore comply with Rule 13.1 PCT.

SECTION V

D1= WO-A-2006/062978

D2= WO-A-2006/055922

D3= Synthesis, 1980 (7), pages 505-536

D4= DE-A-939 151

1. The present application relates to processes for producing 3-substituted 2-amino-5-halobenzamides and 3-substituted 5-haloisatoic anhydrides.
2. Document D1 discloses processes for the preparation of 2-amino-5-chloro-N,3-dimethyl-benzamide by contacting 6-chloro-8-methyl-2*H*-3,1-2,4(1*H*)-dione (5-chloro-3-methylisatoic anhydride) with aqueous methylamine in the presence of acetonitrile or ethyl acetate as a solvent (see D1, Examples 1 and 5). The 2-amino-5-chloro-N,3-dimethyl-benzamide is subsequently used for the manufacture of 3-bromo-N-[4-chloro-2-methyl-6-[(methylamino)carbonyl]phenyl]-1-(3-chloro-2-pyridinyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-carboxamide (cf. D1, Examples 7-14). As a result, D1 includes all the features of present claims 1, 3-5, 7, 10 and 11.

Accordingly, the subject-matter of claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 10-11 does not meet the requirements of Articles 33(2) PCT.

3. The process of claim 2 is novel over D1 in that it is carried out in a substantially anhydrous medium. However, it does not appear to involve an inventive step in view

of D2, the reasons being as follows:

D2 describes a process for the preparation of 3,5-disubstituted 2-amino-benzamides of formula 10, including 3-substituted 2-amino-5-halobenzamides of present formula 1, by reacting an amine of formula 3 with an isatoic anhydride of formula 11 (cf. D2, page 25, Scheme 8). There is no suggestion in this document that the reaction medium contains water. Indeed, according to Example 4, cyclopropylmethylamine is added to a solution of 6-chloro-8-methyl-2H-3,1-2,4(1H)-dione in ethyl acetate to produce 2-amino-5-chloro-N-(cyclopropylmethyl)-3-methylbenzamide. Hence, the claimed process differs from the method of D2 by carrying out the reaction in the presence of a carboxylic acid. In view of D1, however, it would be obvious for the skilled person to use acetic acid as an additional component of the reaction mixture (cf. D1, Examples 1 and 5). The subject process can only be re-garded as inventive, if the presence of a carboxylic acid in the reaction medium is associated with surprising effects or unexpected advantages. However, no evidence of such effects or advantages is shown in the application.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 2 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. This also applies to claims 1, 3-5, 7, 10 and 11 as far as they are novel with respect to D1.

4. Claims 8 and 9 are directed to a method for producing 3-substituted 5-haloisatoic anhydrides of formula 2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the closest state of the art, discloses a process for the manufacture of 3,5-disubstituted isatoic anhydrides by reacting the corresponding anthranilic acids with phosgene or a phosgene equivalent, or by the oxidation of the corresponding isatins (cf. D1, page 18, lines 3-11). The problem to be solved by the present application with respect to the cited prior art is to provide an alternative process for the preparation of 3-substituted 5-haloisatoic anhydrides of formula 2.

The process according to present claim 8 differs from the known method in that 3,5-disubstituted 2-[(alk(en)ylloxycarbonyl)amino]-benzoic acid derivatives of formula 4 are contacted with phosphorus tribromide. There is no suggestion in the art of such a process. According to D3, 2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]-benzoic acid (formula 4,

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/US2007/014972

wherein R^2 and X is hydrogen and R^3 is ethyl) is cyclized to isatoic anhydride by treatment with acetyl chloride (cf. D3, page 506, left-hand column, 2nd and 3rd paragraph). Except for the fact that unsubstituted isatoic anhydride is prepared in D3, the acetyl chloride reagent can hardly be regarded as equivalent to phosphorus tribromide.

Accordingly, the subject-matter of claims 8 and 9 fulfils the requirements of Articles 33(2) and (3) PCT.

5. The method according to claim 6 includes the process of claims 8 and 9 respectively. It satisfies the requirements of Articles 33(2) and (3) PCT the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step as well.
6. The compounds of claims 12-16 are novel and serve as starting compounds (intermediates) in the process according to claim 8. Hence, they also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

SECTION VI

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

XP=002464992 and XP=002464993

The present application claims priority rights from 19.03.99. This opinion is given taking for granted that this priority date is valid. If this is not so, the documents cited above would become very relevant in the assessment of the patentability of the present application when it enters the national phase.

SECTION VIII

1. The expression "about" in connection with ranges (cf. claim 5, pages 4-7 and 9-12) - renders the scope of the application unclear (Art. 6 PCT).

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/US2007/014972

2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in document D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

FORMULARIO UNICO DE CORRECCIONES Y MODIFICACIONES

①

SOLICITUD DE

☐

Corrección de Error Material

☒

Modificación de una solicitud

②

SOLICITANTE

Nombre: E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

Dirección: 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19898, Estados Unidos de América

Nacionalidad o Domicilio: Estados Unidos de América

Lugar de Constitución: Estados Unidos de América

Teléfono:

Fax:

E-Mail

IDENTIFICACION

C.C.

☐

NIT

☐

C.E.

☐

Otro

☐

Cual

Número

③

REPRESENTANTE
O APODERADO

Nombre: ALVARO CORREA ORDOÑEZ

Dirección: Avenida 82 No.10-62 Piso 60.

Teléfono: 634 1500

Fax: 376 2211

E-Mail: office.bogota@bakernet.com

IDENTIFICACION

C.C.

☒

NIT

☐

C.E.

☐

Otro

☐

Cual

Número 79.143.366

TP 20.281

④

Numero de expediente

09.002.500

⑤

Descripción de la corrección o modificación solicitada

Presento a su Despacho formulario de modificaciones aclarando que la presente solicitud corresponde a capítulo I y no a capítulo II como se solicitó inicialmente.

⑥

Comprobante de Pago No.

09-24,234

Fecha

MARZO 27 2009

⑦

Anexos

☒

Comprobante de pago de la tasa.

☐

Poderes, si fuere el caso.

☐

Documento que acredita la existencia y representación legal de las partes, cuando sean personas jurídicas.

⑧

NOMBRE

ALVARO CORREA ORDOÑEZ

FIRMA

C.C. 79.143.366


T.P. 20.281

Instrucciones para el diligenciamiento del presente formulario, ver reverso de esta página.

2000-F03

2009/005

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO



No. 09-002500- -00002-0000

Fecha: 2009-05-26 16:08:08 Dep. 2020 NUECREACIONE
 Tra. 11 PATENTEIYII Eve: 379 FASENACIONALII
 Act. 444 RESPUESTAREQUE Folios: 18

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INDUSTRIA Y COMERCIO

NIT : 800176089-2

RECIBO OFICIAL DE CAJA : 09 - 24,234
 FECHA : MARZO 27 DE 2009

===== CONSIGNACION =====

DEPOSITANTE	TIPO PAGO	BANCO	CUENTA	No. PAGO	FECHA PAGO	Vr. PAGO
BAKER & MCKENZIE	RECIBO DE CAJA			23790	27/03/2009	65,162,000.00

===== CONCEPTO =====

CANT.	RENTISTICO	CONCEPTO	TOTAL CONCEPTO
1	50005-01-03	CAMBIO DE MODALIDAD Y MODIFICA	
		12 MARCAS Y LEMAS COMERCIALES	111,000.00
		TOTAL :	\$ 111,000.00

SON: CIENTO ONCE MIL PESOS

RESPONSABLE :

RECIBO DE CAJA APLICADO AL EXPEDIENTE No. _____



2020
Bogotá D.C.,


Doctor(a)
CORREA ORDOÑEZ ALVARO
Apoderado(a) y/o Representante de
E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

REFERENCIA	Radicación No.	9 2500
	Solicitud internacional	PCT/US2007/014972
	Fecha inicio fase nacional	19/02/2009
	Trámite	11
	Evento	379
	Actuación	416
	Oficio No.	9830
	Folios	1

Teniendo en cuenta que la solicitud de privilegio de patente que se tramita bajo el expediente indicado en la referencia, reúne los requisitos de forma establecidos en la Decisión 486 de la Comisión de la Comunidad Andina, el Tratado de Cooperación en Materia de Patentes (PCT), el Reglamento y la Circular Única de la Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio, se envía el extracto de la solicitud a la Oficina de Comunicaciones para efecto de su publicación en la Gaceta de Propiedad Industrial.

Cúmplase
Dado en Bogotá DC,

14 ENE. 2010



JESUS FERNEL GARCIA GARCIA
Director de Nuevas Creaciones (E)

jfernand